

Special Kähler Metrics on Complex Line Bundles and the Geometry of $K3$ -Surfaces

Ya. V. Bazaikin

1 Introduction

In this article we continue studying the Ricci-flat Riemannian metrics that were constructed in [1]. On closer examination it turned out that they possess a number of remarkable properties; in particular, they have the holonomy group $SU(2)$, so presenting special Kähler metrics.

The metrics of holonomy $SU(2)$ are interesting because of their applications in mathematical physics. In superstring theory and M -theory there appear compact manifolds with special holonomy groups. Moreover, if we admit the presence of physically isolated singularities then it suffices to study asymptotically flat metrics on the normal bundles of these singularities. Thus, we arrive at the problem of studying asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics with special holonomies on bundles over orbifolds.

One of the most topical examples of special Kähler metrics is the Eguchi–Hanson metric [2] on the cotangent bundle T^*S^2 of the standard two-dimensional sphere (without singularities). The Eguchi–Hanson metric has played an important role in studying special holonomy groups. Namely, Page [3] proposed a description of the space of special Kähler metrics on a $K3$ -surface in which the Eguchi–Hanson metric plays the role of an “elementary brick.” More exactly, represent a $K3$ -surface using Kummer’s construction; i.e., consider the involution of the flat torus T^4 which arises from the central symmetry of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$. Factorizing, we obtain an orbifold with 16 singular points whose neighborhoods look like $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Blowing up the resulting orbifold in a neighborhood of each singular point, we obtain a $K3$ -surface. Topologically, the construction of blowing up a singular point of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is carried out as follows: We have to delete the singularity and identify its neighborhood with the space of the spherical bundle in T^*S^2 without the zero fiber S^2 . Page proposed to consider a metric on T^*S^2 which is homothetic to the Eguchi–Hanson metric with a sufficiently small homothety coefficient so that the metric on the boundary of the glued spherical bundle becomes arbitrarily close to a flat metric. After that we need to deform slightly the metric on the torus so as to obtain a smooth metric on a $K3$ -surface with holonomy $SU(2)$. A simple evaluation of the degrees of freedom in the process of this operation demonstrates that we obtain a 58-dimensional family of metrics which agrees with the well-known

results on the dimension of the moduli space of such metrics [4]. Later, Page's idea was used by Joyce [5, 6] for constructing the first compact examples of manifolds with the exotic holonomy groups G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$.

We can identify the two-dimensional sphere S^2 with the complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Consider its natural generalization $\mathbb{CP}^1(k, l)$, the weighted complex projective line, which is a complex orbifold with two singular points. In this article we obtain the following

Theorem. *On the cotangent bundle $M_{k,l} = T^*\mathbb{CP}^1(k, l)$ of the weighted complex projective line, there is a metric with the holonomy group $SU(2)$.*

This metric was found in [1] in a special coordinate system as a solution to the equation of the zero Ricci curvature on torus bundles over two-dimensional surfaces. Also, in [1] it was proven that the metric has the isometry group $U(1) \times U(1)$ and cohomogeneity 2 except for the case $k = l = 1$. For $k = l = 1$ our metric coincides with the Eguchi–Hanson metric.

Asymptotically, the constructed metrics behave as follows: At infinity the metric tends to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$, while in a neighborhood of each of the two singular points it tends to the respective Euclidean metrics on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_l$. Therefore, with Page's idea in mind, we propose to use the metrics on $M_{k,l}$ for blowing up the singularities of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_p$ on the orbifolds with holonomy $SU(2)$ in several steps: successively replace each singularity with two singularities of less order gluing the space $M_{k,l}$ with the constructed metric; hopefully, we eventually “remove” all singular points.

As an application, we consider a representation of a $K3$ -surface as the blow-up of the singularities of the orbifold $T^4/\mathbb{Z}_p$ for a prime $p \neq 2$. It turns out that the only possible case is $p = 3$ in which we have to blow up 9 singular points of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$. This is done in two steps: first, using $M_{1,2}$, we obtain 9 singular points of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and then remove them by means of $M_{1,1} = T^*S^2$. Each time we slightly deform the metric on the “glued” space and eventually obtain a $K3$ -surface with a family of metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$. Simple calculations demonstrate that the dimension of the so-obtained family equals 58, as expected. However, the so-described metrics on a $K3$ -surface differ essentially from the family constructed by Page: actually, we give an asymptotic description of the moduli space of the metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$ in a neighborhood of a flat metric on $T^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$, while Page gave an asymptotic description of the same space in a neighborhood of a flat metric on $T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$. To justify our construction rigorously, we establish a connection between the constructed metrics and multi-instantons [7, 8]; our metrics are the limit case of a multi-instanton corresponding to two sources with different “masses.”

In the next section we consider weighted complex projective spaces and describe the structure of $M_{k,l}$ and the metric on it. In §3 and §4 we discuss applications to the geometry of $K3$ -surfaces.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to I. A. Taĭmanov for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 03-01-00403), the RAS Program Mathematical Methods in Nonlinear Dynamics, and the Program Development of the Scientific Potential

of Higher School of the Ministry for Education of the Russian Federation (Grant 8311).

2 A Special Kählerian Structure on $M_{k,l}$

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be a set of coprime positive integers. Consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ with weights $k_0, \dots, k_n$:

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* : (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_0 \lambda^{k_0}, z_1 \lambda^{k_1}, \dots, z_n \lambda^{k_n}).$$

The orbit space of this action of $\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ possesses the structure of a complex orbifold and is called the *weighted complex projective space*. We denote the orbit of a point $(z_0, \dots, z_n)$ by $[z_0 : \dots : z_n]$. The structure of singularities of the orbifold $\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ can be rather complicated and depends in general on the mutual divisibility of different sets of the numbers $k_0, \dots, k_n$. In the case when each pair k_i, k_j is coprime (this is the case we are interested in), the situation becomes somewhat simpler and $\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ possesses only a discrete collection of isolated singularities $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0], [0 : 1 : \dots : 0], \dots, [0 : 0 : \dots : 1]$ beyond which it is a complex analytic manifold. As a uniformizing atlas we have to consider the collection of charts:

$$\phi_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = [z_1 : \dots : z_i : 1 : z_{i+1} : \dots : z_n], \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, for each chart, the uniformizing group is the group $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ generated by the element $\omega_i = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k_i}}$ whose action is given as follows:

$$\omega_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1 \omega_i^{k_0}, \dots, z_i \omega_i^{k_i-1}, z_{i+1} \omega_i^{k_{i+1}}, \dots, z_n \omega_i^{k_n}).$$

We need a generalization of the above construction. Suppose that we have collections $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_n)$ and $\mathbf{l} = (l_0, \dots, l_m)$ of pairwise coprime integers such that $k_i > 0$ and $l_j < 0$. As above, we can formally consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+m+2} \setminus \{0\}$ with weights $k_0, \dots, k_n, l_0, \dots, l_m$. Moreover, as the orbit space $\mathbb{C}P^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = (\mathbb{C}^{n+m+2} \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{C}^*$ we obtain a topological space possessing a uniformizing atlas which is given by the collection of charts ϕ_i and ψ_j , $i = 0, \dots, n$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, defined as above. However, the so-obtained orbit space does not possess the Hausdorff property: the singular points corresponding to positive weights are not separated from those corresponding to negative weights. More exactly, consider the obvious embeddings of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{n+m+2} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$. Passing to the orbit space, we obtain two orbifolds $\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbb{C}P^m(\mathbf{l})$ that are naturally embedded into $\mathbb{C}P^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})$ and cover together all singular points. It is obvious that $\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ cannot be separated from $\mathbb{C}P^m(\mathbf{l})$ in the quotient topology of the orbit space. Therefore, define the two weighted complex projective spaces:

$$\mathbb{C}P_+^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = \mathbb{C}P^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \setminus \mathbb{C}P^m(\mathbf{l}),$$

$$\mathbb{C}P_-^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = \mathbb{C}P^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \setminus \mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k}).$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{C}P_+^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})$ and $\mathbb{C}P_-^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})$ are noncompact orbifolds with uniformizing atlases given by the respective collections of charts ϕ_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$, and ψ_j , $j = 0, \dots, m$. Note that there is an obvious isomorphism between the complex manifolds $\mathbb{C}P_+^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \setminus \mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbb{C}P_-^{n+m+1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \setminus \mathbb{C}P^m(\mathbf{l})$ induced by the identical transformation of the space $\mathbb{C}^{n+m+2}$.

We turn to description of some necessary spaces. Consider a pair k, l of coprime positive numbers. We obtain the weighted complex projective line $S^2(k, l) = \mathbb{C}P^1(k, l)$. Let the uniformizing atlas on $S^2(k, l)$ consist of the two charts ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 defining the coordinates $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\phi_0(z) = [1 : z], \quad \phi_1(w) = [w : 1].$$

The coordinates are connected by the relation $z^k w^l = 1$. Thus, $S^2(k, l)$ has two singular points $z = 0$ and $w = 0$ with the respective uniformizing groups $\mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\mathbb{Z}_l$. Beyond the singular points, $S^2(k, l)$ possesses the structure of a complex manifold and, topologically, is a two-dimensional sphere.

Now, consider the cotangent bundle $M_{k,l} = T^*S^2(k, l)$ and study its structure. In the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})$, we naturally distinguish the subbundle constituted by the vertical tangent vectors with respect to the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ (the vertical vectors are those tangent to the orbits of the action). In the cotangent bundle $T^*(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) = \Lambda^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^2 \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ consider the subbundle E constituted by the covectors vanishing on the vertical vectors. It is easy to see that

$$E = \{(z_0(lz_2dz_1 - kz_1dz_2), z_1, z_2) \mid z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Then the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ induces the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on E which has the following structure:

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* : (z_0, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_0\lambda^{k+l}, z_1\lambda^{-k}, z_2\lambda^{-l}).$$

Since the quotient space of E by the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ coincides obviously with $T^*S^2(k, l)$, we thereby find that $M_{k,l}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{C}P_-^2(k+l, -k, -l)$. In this event, the projective line $S^2(k, l)$ is embedded into $M_{k,l}$ as a complex submanifold with singularities $\{z_0 = 0\}$.

Thus, $M_{k,l}$ is a complex orbifold with two singular points and the uniformizing groups $\mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\mathbb{Z}_l$ at these points. In particular, if one of the numbers k and l equals 1 then there is only one singularity, and if $k = l = 1$ then we obtain the cotangent bundle over the standard two-dimensional sphere without singularities. Consider the two charts with local coordinates $(z, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $(w, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ which determine an atlas on $M_{k,l}$:

$$\psi_1(\alpha, z) = [\alpha : 1 : z], \quad \psi_2(\beta, w) = [\beta : w : 1].$$

The coordinate systems are connected by the relations $z^k w^l = 1$ and $\alpha z = \beta w$. Moreover, the uniformizing groups $\mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\mathbb{Z}_l$ acting in each coordinate system are presented in the group $SU(2)$ acting standardly on $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\mathbb{Z}_k = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \omega \in \mathbb{C}, \omega^k = 1 \right\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_l = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \omega \in \mathbb{C}, \omega^l = 1 \right\}.$$

The projective line $S^2(k, l)$ is embedded into $M_{k, l}$ as a complex submanifold (with singularities) $\{\alpha = \beta = 0\}$.

Below we need the analytic structure of $M_{k, l}$ at infinity. Therefore, consider the isomorphism of complex manifolds

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{k, l} : (\mathbb{C}^2 / \mathbb{Z}_{k+l}) \setminus \{0\} &= \mathbb{C}P_+^2(k+l, -k, -l) \setminus \{[1 : 0 : 0]\} \rightarrow M_{k, l} \setminus S^2(k, l), \\ \tau_{k, l}[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] &= [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that $\tau_{k, l}$ “glues in” the projective line $S^2(k, l)$ instead of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2 / \mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$. Moreover, different orbits of the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ “intersecting” at the point $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ do not intersect any longer in $M_{k, l}$; that is, we have an analog of the blow-up operation.

In [1] the author constructed Ricci-flat metrics on $M_{k, l}$ by means of special coordinates ρ, θ, ϕ, ψ . The orbifold $M_{k, l}$ appeared as a cylinder of the bundle of the lens space $L(-1, k+l)$ over $S^2(k, l)$. Moreover, (θ, ϕ, ψ) were the coordinates in $L(-1, k+l)$, while ρ was the coordinate along the element of the cylinder. The metrics looked as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= (\text{ch} \rho - a \cos \theta) (d\rho^2 + d\theta^2) + \\ &\frac{\text{sh}^2 \rho}{\text{ch} \rho - a \cos \theta} (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\text{ch} \rho - a \cos \theta} (a d\psi + \text{ch} \rho d\phi)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $a = \frac{l-k}{l+k}$. For $k = l = 1$ (i.e., for $a = 0$) the metric (2) coincides with the Eguchi–Hanson metric of cohomogeneity 1. For $a \neq 0$ we obtain a new metric of cohomogeneity 2.

It is easy to note that the space $L(-1, k+l)$ is a spherical subbundle in $T^*S^2(k, l) = M_{k, l}$ over $S^2(k, l)$. This enables us to establish a connection between the coordinates $(\theta, \rho, \psi, \phi)$ and (z, α) or (w, β) . Namely, we consider the following change of coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \frac{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{(\cos \frac{\theta}{2})^{l/k}} e^{-il(a\psi + \phi)}, \quad \alpha = kl \text{sh} \frac{\rho}{2} \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{1 + \frac{l}{k}} e^{il(\psi + \phi)}, \\ w &= \frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}{(\sin \frac{\theta}{2})^{k/l}} e^{ik(a\psi + \phi)}, \quad \beta = kl \text{sh} \frac{\rho}{2} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{1 + \frac{k}{l}} e^{ik(\psi - \phi)}. \end{aligned}$$

The metric ds^2 becomes smooth upon this change in each of two charts (the exact expressions for the metric in the variables (z, α) and (w, β) are rather bulky and not given here).

Recall that a Riemannian metric on a two-dimensional complex manifold (orbifold) is called a *special Kähler metric* if its holonomy group lies in the group $SU(2)$ presented standardly in the tangent space. One of the main results of the article is the following assertion:

Theorem 1. *The space $M_{k, l}$ with metric (2) is a special Kähler orbifold.*

Proof. Here is a Kählerian form ω which agrees with metric (2):

$$\omega = \text{sh} \rho d\rho \wedge d\psi - a \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\psi + \text{sh} \rho \cos \theta d\rho \wedge d\phi - \text{ch} \rho \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi.$$

Straightforward calculations show that this form is smooth outside the singular points and is closed (and consequently parallel). Hence, we can immediately conclude that $M_{k,l}$ is a Kähler manifold and the holonomy group lies in $U(2)$. One well-known result of differential geometry (for example, see [9]) states that a smooth Ricci-flat Kähler metric on a simply connected manifold is a special Kähler metric; i.e., its holonomy group lies in $SU(2)$. This result is of local character; therefore, applying it to our case, we can conclude that parallel translation along small loops away from the pair of singular points lies in $SU(2)$. Among the other things, the uniformizing group of the orbifold has a contribution into translation “around” a singular point. However, the elements of the uniformizing groups as well lie in $SU(2)$; therefore, the holonomy group $M_{k,l}$ coincides with $SU(2)$.

The theorem is proven.

3 Applications to the Geometry of $K3$ -Surfaces

Kummer’s construction. Recall the construction of a $K3$ -surface by means of Kummer’s construction. Consider a complex two-dimensional torus $T^4 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \{(a+ib, c+id) \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Define the involution $\sigma : T^4 \rightarrow T^4$ as follows:

$$\sigma : (z_1, z_2) + \Lambda \mapsto (-z_1, -z_2) + \Lambda.$$

The involution σ has 16 fixed points; namely, the points (z_1, z_2) for $z_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}i, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\}$. If we consider a flat metric on T^4 then σ becomes an isometry and $X = T^4/\sigma$ represents a special Kähler orbifold with 16 singular points. In this event, a neighborhood of each point looks like $\mathbb{C}^2/\{\pm 1\}$. Now, consider the complex surface Y obtained by blowing up each of the 16 singular points; this is a $K3$ -surface.

The blow-up construction can be carried out as follows: Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$ be the Euclidean coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$; consider a spherical neighborhood $\Delta = \{|u|^2 \leq \varepsilon\}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ in which the blow-up will happen. Now, consider $M_{1,1} = T^*S^2$ and the mapping $\tau_{1,1} : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M_{1,1} \setminus S^2$ constructed in (1). The mapping $\tau_{1,1}$ induces a complex isomorphism between the open submanifold $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and an open submanifold in $M_{1,1} \setminus S^2$. Deleting the point $0 \in \Delta$ and carrying out the identification by means of the indicated isomorphism, we obtain a complex manifold without a singular point.

Page’s construction. It is well known [4] that Y possesses a 58-dimensional family $\mathcal{S}$ of metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$. In [3] Page proposed a geometric description of the moduli space of the metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$. We briefly describe his approach. Considering all flat metrics on T^4 , we obtain the family $\mathcal{S}_2$ of metrics of holonomy $SU(2)$ on the orbifold X . Neighborhoods of 16 singular points of X are isometric to neighborhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{C}/\{\pm 1\}$. For each singular point we cut its neighborhood $(0, \varepsilon_1) \times S^3/\{\pm 1\}$. Then the “collar” of the boundary $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \times S^3/\{\pm 1\}$ is “almost” isometric to the open

spherical layer $M_{1,1}$ with a metric homothetic to the Eguchi–Hanson metric with the homothety coefficient t . Decreasing t , we can make the metrics arbitrarily close on the collar; moreover, both metrics have holonomy $SU(2)$. Now, using the analytic tools, we can show that if a neighborhood is sufficiently small then deformation of both metrics gives a smooth metric on Y with holonomy $SU(2)$. A rigorous justification of this construction was given later in [10, 11, 5].

This approach to the moduli space of a $K3$ -surface gives a geometrically clear explanation of the dimension 58. Indeed, the space $\mathcal{S}_2$ is ten-dimensional. Now, in a neighborhood of each singular point, the metrics in $\mathcal{S}_2$ possess the group of isometries $SO(4)$ on $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \times S^3/\{\pm 1\}$. The subgroup $U(2) \subset SO(4)$ leaves the Eguchi–Hanson metric unchanged. This yields a family of metrics of dimension $\dim(SO(4)/U(2)) = 2$. If we use the parameter t , we obtain a three-dimensional family of different metrics in a neighborhood of each singular point. Thus, taking all singular points into account, we conclude that the dimension of the whole family equals $10 + 16 \cdot 3 = 58$. Actually, Page proposed a geometric description of the moduli space $\mathcal{S}$ of the metrics of holonomy $SU(2)$ on Y in a neighborhood of the limit family $\mathcal{S}_2$.

Resolution of singularities of type $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$ by means of $M_{k,l}$. We propose to consider $M_{k,l}$ as a space which enables us to resolve singularities of higher order by reducing them to singularities of less order. More exactly, consider a singular point of some complex manifold such that the manifold in a neighborhood of this point looks like $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$ be the Euclidean coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and let $\Delta = \{|u|^2 \leq \varepsilon\}/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$ be a neighborhood of the manifold in which the blow-up happens. Now, consider $M_{k,l}$ and the mapping $\tau_{k,l} : (\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M_{k,l} \setminus S^2(k, l)$ defined in (1). This mapping induces a complex isomorphism between the manifold $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and an open submanifold in $M_{k,l} \setminus S^2(k, l)$. Removing the singular point $0 \in \Delta$ and carrying out the identification by means of the indicated isomorphism, we obtain a complex manifold which has two singular points of types $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_l$ instead of one singular point of the form $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k+l}$. Repeating this procedure, we can now resolve all resulting singular points.

Pursuing the goal to generalize Page’s construction, consider the following question: What groups $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset SU(2)$ for $p > 2$ can act on $T^4 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ by isometries? It is clear that after an appropriate choice of a unitary basis we can assume that

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega^q & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\omega}^q \end{pmatrix} \mid q \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi}{p}i}$ is the primitive root of degree p of unity. Consider a nonzero element $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda$ of the lattice. Let $\Pi = \{(\lambda_1 z, \lambda_2 \bar{z}) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ be a real two-dimensional plane invariant under $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since Λ is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\Pi \cap \Lambda$ is a lattice containing the vectors λ , $\lambda\omega$, and $\lambda\omega^2$. Consequently, there is a polynomial of the second degree with integer coefficients whose root is the primitive root ω . Hence, this is a polynomial of division of the disk which leaves only three possibilities: $p = 3, 4, 6$. However, the cases $p = 4, 6$ mean the presence of singular points in $T^4/\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose neighborhoods are not modeled

with the cone $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_p$, and we cannot resolve them using our construction. We are left with the only case $p = 3$ which will be considered in detail.

Suppose that the flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is determined by the real part of the standard Hermitian product and $\Lambda_0 = \{ae_1 + be_2 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $e_1 = 1$ and $e_2 = e^{\frac{\pi}{3}i}$. The arguments above demonstrate that the general lattice Λ invariant under the action of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ has the form

$$\Lambda = \{(\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2, \mu_1 z_1 + \mu_2 z_2) \mid z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_0\} \cong \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_0,$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$, and μ_2 are complex parameters which Λ depends on, and $\lambda_1 \mu_2 - \lambda_2 \mu_1 \neq 0$. The action of the group $\mathbb{Z}_3$ on $T^4 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ is generated by the transformation

$$\gamma : (z_1, z_2) + \Lambda \mapsto (z_1 e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}i}, z_2 e^{-\frac{2\pi}{3}i}) + \Lambda.$$

The quotient space $X = T^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is a Kähler orbifold with nine singular points which correspond to the fixed (with respect to γ) points in T^4 . These points have the form $(\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2, \mu_1 z_1 + \mu_2 z_2)$, where $z_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}e_1 + \frac{1}{3}e_2, \frac{2}{3}e_1 + \frac{2}{3}e_2\}$. We denote by $\{s_1, \dots, s_9\}$ the singular points in X . Let $\mathcal{S}_3$ be the moduli space of the flat metrics on X with holonomy $SU(2)$ corresponding to all possible values of the parameters λ_i and μ_i . The action of the group $U(2) \subset GL_{\mathbb{C}}(2)$ leaves the metric on $\mathbb{C}^2$ unchanged and consequently induces the trivial action on $\mathcal{S}_3$. Therefore, $\dim(\mathcal{S}_3) = \dim(GL_{\mathbb{C}}(2)/U(2)) = 4$.

Let X' be the complex surface obtained by successive resolution of X at the singular points by means of $M_{1,2}$ and $M_{1,1}$. Namely, suppose that $B_i \subset T^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, \dots, 9$, are open balls of radius ε centered at the singular points of X and $B'_i \subset B_i$ are the closed balls of radius $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ centered at the same points. Thus, we obtain a system of concentric neighborhoods of the singular points in X : $s_i \in B'_i \subset B_i$. Choose a sufficiently small ε such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. It is clear that $B_i \setminus B'_i$ is diffeomorphic to $(\varepsilon', \varepsilon) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. Consider the space $M_{1,2}$ with the metric $t^2 ds^2$, where ds^2 is the metric (2) for $a = 1/3$. In the space $M_{1,2}$ consider the collar $\tau_{1,2}((\varepsilon', \varepsilon) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_3)$, where the mapping $\tau_{k,l}$ is defined in (1). Then the mapping $\tau_{1,2}$ defined on the collar tends to an isometry as $t \rightarrow 0$. Consider the orbifold Y obtained by identification of $X \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^9 B'_i)$ with nine copies of $\tau_{1,2}((0, \varepsilon) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_3)$ by means of the mapping $\tau_{1,2}$ bounded on the collar $(\varepsilon', \varepsilon) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. On the glued domains the metric $t^2 ds^2$ is arbitrarily close to a locally flat metric on X as $t \rightarrow 0$. The orbifold Y has nine singularities $s'_1, \dots, s'_9$ and looks locally like $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ in their neighborhoods. Similarly, we consider a sufficiently small $\delta' < \delta < \varepsilon'$ and a system of concentric neighborhoods $s'_i \in C'_i \subset C_i$ in Y of radii δ' and δ . Using the mapping $\tau_{1,1}$, we identify $Y \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^9 C'_i)$ with nine copies of $\tau_{1,1}((0, \delta) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2) \subset M_{1,1}$ over the collar $(\delta', \delta) \times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and obtain a complex surface X' without singularities. Moreover, in the glued domains the metric on Y is arbitrarily close to the metric $u^2 ds'^2$ as $u, \delta \rightarrow 0$, where ds'^2 is the metric (2) on $M_{1,1}$ for $a = 0$. Let ds^2 be the metric on Y obtained by smoothing the metrics on X , $M_{1,2}$, and $M_{1,1}$ in the domains of the described identification.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}$ is the moduli space of the metrics with the holonomy group $SU(2)$ on a $K3$ -surface, $\mathcal{S}_3$ is the moduli space of the flat metrics on X with the holonomy group $SU(2)$.

Theorem 2. *The surface X' is a $K3$ -surface and consequently $\mathcal{S}_3$ is a limit space for $\mathcal{S}$. A sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_3$ in $\mathcal{S}$ consists of the 58-dimensional family of metrics obtained by small deformation of the family of metrics $d\tilde{s}^2$ constructed as described above as $\delta, t, u \rightarrow 0$.*

We give a proof of this theorem in the next section.

4 Connection with Multi-Instantons and the Proof of Theorem 2

The easiest way to justify the above construction rigorously is to use the connection of the constructed metrics with multi-instantons. Namely, multi-instantons are metrics with the holonomy group $SU(2)$ of the following form [7]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{U}(d\tau + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot d\mathbf{x})^2 + U d\mathbf{x} \cdot d\mathbf{x}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the variable τ is periodic,

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|},$$

$$\text{rot}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \text{grad}U,$$

and $\mathbf{x}_i$ is a set of s different points in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. A multi-instanton (3) is a smooth Riemannian metric on some four-dimensional manifold.

Let $d\sigma$ be the area form of the level surface of U in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is easy to verify that the form

$$\omega'_1 = \frac{dU}{|\text{grad}U|} \wedge (d\tau + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot d\mathbf{x}) + U d\sigma \quad (4)$$

is a closed Kählerian form which agrees with metric (3).

Consider the limit case when there are only two points $\mathbf{x}_1 = (-1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = (1, 0, 0)$; moreover, the first has multiplicity l and the second has multiplicity k . Take the coordinates (r, θ, ϕ, ψ) as follows:

$$x_1 = \text{ch } \rho \cos \theta, \quad x_2 = \text{sh } \rho \sin \theta \cos \psi, \quad x_3 = \text{sh } \rho \sin \theta \sin \psi, \quad \tau = (l + k)\phi.$$

Straightforward calculations show that with these coordinates (3) coincides with (2) to within multiplication by a constant; i.e., the constructed metric on $T^*\mathbb{C}P^1(k, l)$ is a limit case of a multi-instanton.

Now, we can prove Theorem 2. Our proof is similar to the arguments of [5]; therefore, as far as it is possible we will try to keep the corresponding notations.

Let T^4 be the flat torus with the above-defined action of the group $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Consider the torus $T^7 = T^3 \times T^4$ with a flat metric and extend the action of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ to T^7 by making it trivial on T^3 . Then the set S of singular points in $T^7/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is the disjoint union of nine tori T^3 ; moreover, a neighborhood T of the set S is isometric to the disjoint union of nine copies of $T^3 \times B_\zeta^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$, where B_ζ^4 are open balls of radius ζ in $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$ for an appropriate constant $\zeta > 0$.

Now, choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider a multi-instanton $ds^2(t)$ on the four-dimensional manifold M_t given by the following three points: $\mathbf{x}_1 = (-4t^2/3, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = (2t^2/3, t^2\varepsilon, 0)$, and $\mathbf{x}_3 = (2t^2/3, -t^2\varepsilon, 0)$. Denote by U_t the corresponding potential. Let $\bar{U}(\mathbf{x}) = 3/|\mathbf{x}|$ be the potential of the center of gravity with multiplicity 3. It is easy to verify that

$$|\nabla^i(U_t(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{U}(\mathbf{x}))| = O(t^4) \quad (5)$$

for $|\mathbf{x}| > \zeta^2/16$ and all $i \geq 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, where ∇^i is the set of partial derivatives of order i . The metric $d\bar{s}^2$ constructed for the potential $\bar{U}$ is isometric to the flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$; moreover, each domain $\{|\mathbf{x}| \leq r^2\}$ is isometric to the ball B_r^4 . It follows from (5) that

$$|\nabla^i(ds^2(t) - d\bar{s}^2)| = O(t^4) \quad (6)$$

for $|\mathbf{x}| > \zeta^2/16$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Now, cut each of the nine neighborhoods $B_\zeta^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of the singular points in $X = T^4/\mathbb{Z}_3$ and, instead of each of them, glue the domain in M_t defined by the condition $|\mathbf{x}| \leq \zeta^2$. We obtain a smooth four-dimensional manifold X' . In X' we define three domains A , B , and C as follows: the domain A is the union of the neighborhoods given by the condition $|\mathbf{x}| \leq \zeta^2/9$ in each of the nine glued copies of M_t ; the domain C is the complement in X' of the glued neighborhoods and is isometric to $X \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^9 B_\zeta^4)$; and finally $B = X' \setminus (A \cup C)$.

Consider the Kählerian forms $\omega'_1(t)$ and $\bar{\omega}_1$ of the metrics $ds^2(t)$ and $d\bar{s}^2$ described in (4). Since the metric $ds^2(t)$ has the holonomy group $SU(2)$, we have a parallel complex volume form $\mu(t)$ on the Kähler manifold $(M_t, \omega'_1(t))$. Put

$$\omega'_2(t) = \text{Re}(\mu(t)), \quad \omega'_3(t) = \text{Im}(\mu(t)).$$

Then the triple of the parallel Kählerian forms $\omega'_1(t)$, $\omega'_2(t)$, and $\omega'_3(t)$ determines the hypercomplex structure on M_t with the metric $ds^2(t)$. Similarly, we construct a triple of parallel constant forms $\bar{\omega}_1$, $\bar{\omega}_2$, and $\bar{\omega}_3$ which determine the flat hypercomplex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ with the metric $d\bar{s}^2$.

It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$|\nabla^k(\omega'_i(t) - \bar{\omega}_i)| = O(t^4), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for $|\mathbf{x}| > \zeta^2/16$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the union B of the spherical layers. There exist 1-forms $\eta'_i(t)$ and $\bar{\eta}_i$ such that $\omega'_i(t) = d\eta'_i(t)$ and $\bar{\omega}_i = d\bar{\eta}_i$; moreover,

$$|\nabla^k(\eta'_i(t) - \bar{\eta}_i)| = O(t^4) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider a real smooth increasing function $u(r)$ defined on the interval $[0, \zeta]$ and possessing the following properties:

$$0 \leq u(r) \leq 1; \quad u(r) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq r \leq \zeta/3; \quad u(r) = 1 \text{ for } \zeta/2 \leq r \leq \zeta.$$

Put $\eta_i(t) = u\bar{\eta}_i + (1 - u)\eta'_i(t)$ and $\omega_i(t) = d\eta_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. We have thus constructed a triple of closed 2-forms on X' which coincide with the forms $\bar{\omega}_i$ in the domain C and with the forms $\omega'_i(t)$ in the domain A . Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$|\nabla^k(\omega_i(t) - \bar{\omega}_i)| = O(t^4) \quad (7)$$

in the domain $B \cup C$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Recall the definition of the G_2 -structure. Define a 3-form ϕ_0 on the space $\mathbb{R}^7$ with the standard Euclidean metric and orientation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 = & y_1 \wedge y_2 \wedge y_7 + y_1 \wedge y_3 \wedge y_6 + y_1 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_5 + y_2 \wedge y_3 \wedge y_5 \\ & - y_2 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_6 + y_3 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_7 + y_5 \wedge y_6 \wedge y_7, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_1, \dots, y_7$ is the standard orthonormal positively oriented basis for $(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$. Moreover, the dual 4-form with respect to the Hodge operator looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} *\phi_0 = & y_1 \wedge y_2 \wedge y_3 \wedge y_4 + y_1 \wedge y_2 \wedge y_5 \wedge y_6 - y_1 \wedge y_3 \wedge y_5 \wedge y_7 + y_1 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_6 \wedge y_7 \\ & + y_2 \wedge y_3 \wedge y_6 \wedge y_7 + y_2 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_5 \wedge y_7 + y_3 \wedge y_4 \wedge y_5 \wedge y_6. \end{aligned}$$

The subgroup in $GL_+(\mathbb{R}^7)$, preserving the form ϕ_0 or $*\phi_0$, coincides with the group G_2 .

Now, if M is a seven-dimensional oriented manifold then let $\Lambda_+^3 M$ and $\Lambda_+^4 M$ be the subbundles in $\Lambda^3 T^*M$ and $\Lambda^4 T^*M$ constituted by the forms that have the above-mentioned form ϕ_0 and $*\phi_0$ at each point $p \in M$ in an appropriate oriented basis T_p^*M . It is easily seen that $\Lambda_+^3 M$ and $\Lambda_+^4 M$ are open subbundles in $\Lambda^3 T^*M$ and $\Lambda^4 T^*M$, and we obtain the natural identification mapping $\Theta : \Lambda_+^3 M \rightarrow \Lambda_+^4 M$ which takes each form looking locally like ϕ_0 into a form looking locally like $*\phi_0$. We say that a section ϕ of the bundle $\Lambda_+^3 M$ *determines a G_2 -structure on M* . This form ϕ determines uniquely the Riemannian metric with respect to which the identification operator Θ becomes the Hodge operator $*$. Moreover, if the forms ϕ and $*\phi$ are closed then the G_2 -structure is torsion-free and the holonomy group of the Riemannian manifold M is contained in $G_2 \subset SO(7)$.

Defined a flat G_2 -structure $\bar{\phi}$ on $T^3 \times X = T^7/\mathbb{Z}_3$ and its dual $*\bar{\phi}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi} = & \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \delta_1 + \bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \delta_2 + \bar{\omega}_3 \wedge \delta_3 + \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 \wedge \delta_3, \\ *\bar{\phi} = & \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \delta_2 \wedge \delta_3 + \bar{\omega}_2 \wedge \delta_3 \wedge \delta_1 + \bar{\omega}_3 \wedge \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_1, δ_2 , and δ_3 are constant orthonormal 1-forms on T^3 extended to the whole $T^7/\mathbb{Z}_3 = T^3 \times X$.

Define the following 3- and 4-forms on $M = T^3 \times X'$:

$$\phi_t = \omega_1(t) \wedge \delta_1 + \omega_2(t) \wedge \delta_2 + \omega_3(t) \wedge \delta_3 + \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 \wedge \delta_3,$$

$$v_t = \omega_1(t) \wedge \delta_2 \wedge \delta_3 + \omega_2(t) \wedge \delta_3 \wedge \delta_1 + \omega_3(t) \wedge \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_1(t) \wedge \omega_1(t).$$

It is clear that these forms coincide with $\bar{\phi}$ and $*\bar{\phi}$ respectively in the domain $T^3 \times C$. Since all forms $\omega_i(t)$ and δ_i are closed, the forms ϕ_t and v_t are closed on M too.

In the domains $T^3 \times A$ and $T^3 \times C$, the triple of the forms $\omega_i(t)$ is a triple of Kählerian forms determining a hypercomplex structure; therefore, the form ϕ_t determines a torsion-free G_2 -structure and $v_t = \Theta(\phi_t)$. In the domain $T^3 \times B$, the triple $\omega_i(t)$ does not determine a hypercomplex structure in general, thereby we cannot even guarantee a priori that ϕ_t determines a G_2 -structure. However, $\Lambda_+^3(M)$ is open in $\Lambda^3 T^*(M)$; therefore, it follows from (7) that for a sufficiently small t we have $\phi_t \in C^\infty(\Lambda_+^3(M))$. Moreover, the form v_t differs from $\Theta(\phi_t)$ in general. Define the 3-form ψ_t on M by the relation $*\psi_t = \Theta(\phi_t) - v_t$, where the Hodge operator is defined with respect to the Riemannian metric g given by the G_2 -structure ϕ_t . It is obvious that $d^*\psi_t = d^*\phi_t$.

The following theorems are proven in [5]:

Theorem A. *Let $E_1, \dots, E_5$ be positive constants. Then there are positive constants κ and K depending on $E_1, \dots, E_5$ and such that the following property holds for every $0 < t < \kappa$.*

Let M be a compact seven-dimensional manifold and let ϕ be a smooth closed form in $C^\infty(\Lambda_+^3 M)$. Suppose that ψ is a smooth 3-form on M such that $d^*\psi = d^*\phi$ and the following are fulfilled:

- (i) $\|\psi\|_2 \leq E_1 t^4$ and $\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1/2}} \leq E_1 t^4$;
- (ii) if $\chi \in C^{1,1/2}(\Lambda^3 T^*M)$ and $d\chi = 0$ then

$$\|\chi\|_{C^0} \leq E_2(t\|\nabla\chi\|_{C^0} + t^{-7/2}\|\chi\|_2),$$

$$\|\nabla\chi\|_{C^0} + t^{1/2}[\nabla\chi]_{1/2} \leq E_3(\|d^*\chi\|_{C^0} + t^{1/2}[d^*\chi]_{1/2} + t^{-9/2}\|\chi\|_2);$$

- (iii) $1 \leq E_4 \text{vol}(M)$;

- (iv) if f is a smooth real function and $\int_M f d\mu = 0$ then $\|f\|_2 \leq E_5 \|df\|_2$.

Then there is $\eta \in C^\infty(\Lambda^2 T^*M)$ such that $\|d\eta\|_{C^0} \leq K t^{1/2}$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + d\eta$ is a smooth torsion-free G_2 -structure on M .

Theorem B. *Let $D_1, \dots, D_5$ be positive constants. Then there are positive constants $E_1, \dots, E_5$ and λ depending only on $D_1, \dots, D_5$ such that the following property holds for each $t \in (0, \lambda]$.*

Let M be a compact seven-dimensional manifold and let ϕ be a closed form in $C^\infty(\Lambda_+^3 M)$. Let g be the metric associated with ϕ . Suppose that ψ is a smooth 3-form on M such that $d^*\phi = d^*\psi$ and the following are fulfilled:

- (i) $\|\psi\|_2 \leq D_1 t^4$ and $\|\psi\|_{C^2} \leq D_1 t^4$;
- (ii) the injectivity radius $\delta(g)$ satisfies the inequality $\delta(g) \geq D_2 t$;

- (iii) the Riemannian tensor $R(g)$ of the metric g satisfies the inequality $\|R(g)\|_{C^0} \leq D_3 t^{-2}$;
 - (iv) the volume $\text{vol}(M)$ satisfies the inequality $\text{vol}(M) \geq D_4$;
 - (v) the diameter $\text{diam}(M)$ satisfies the inequality $\text{diam}(M) \leq D_5$.
- Then the conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem A are satisfied for (M, ϕ) .

We want to apply these theorems to the form ϕ_t on M with the associated metric g . Indeed, (7) implies validity of condition (i), while condition (iv) follows trivially from the construction. Now, note that $ds^2(t) = t^2 ds^2(1)$. Hence, we find that the injectivity radius grows linearly with the increase of t which proves (ii) and (iii). The same arguments imply boundedness of the diameter, i.e., (v). Hence, there is a torsion-free G_2 -structure $\tilde{\phi}$ close to ϕ_t . Let g' be the associated metric with the holonomy group G_2 on $M = T^3 \times X'$.

Since X is simply connected, X' is simply connected too. Therefore, $\pi_1(T^3 \times X') = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and from [5] we can conclude that the holonomy group (M, g') is equal to $SU(2) \subset G_2$. Hence, the metric on M is the direct product of a flat metric on T^3 and the metric ds'^2 with the holonomy group $SU(2)$ on X' . In particular, X' is a $K3$ -surface.

Now, we find out how the metric ds'^2 looks like near the remote singular points, i.e., in the domain A . The metric g on $T^3 \times X'$ close to g' in the domain A is the direct product of the flat metric on T^3 and the multi-instanton $ds^2 = ds^2(t)$. The multi-instanton ds^2 tends to a flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and to the metric (2) on $M_{1,2}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the metric ds^2 is obtained from X by the resolution of the singular points by means of $M_{1,2}$ described in Theorem 2. Now, if we consider a small neighborhood of the points $\mathbf{x}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_3$ and choose ε so small that the contribution of $\mathbf{x}_1$ in the potential U_t is small as compared with the contribution of $\mathbf{x}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_3$ then the metric ds^2 in this neighborhood is close to the metric (2) on $M_{1,1}$. Thus, the metric ds^2 is obtained by the double resolution of singular points in X indicated in Theorem 2, while the metric ds'^2 is its small deformation.

Estimate the dimension of the family of metrics constructed above. In the process of resolution of the singularities s_i the freedom of gluing of $M_{1,2}$ is determined by the group $U(2)$ which does not change the complex structure on T^4 . However, the metric on $M_{1,2}$ has the group of isometries $U(1) \times U(1) \subset U(2)$; therefore, if we use the presence of the parameter t responsible for homothety then we obtain a family of different metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$ of dimension 3 in a neighborhood of each point s_i . In the processes of resolution of the singularities s'_i , as in Page's method, we also obtain a family of dimension 3. The dimension of $\mathcal{S}_3$ is equal to 4; therefore, summing up the dimensions, we conclude that the dimension of $\mathcal{S}$ in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_3$ equals 58; this is exactly the dimension of the moduli space of the metrics with holonomy $SU(2)$ on a $K3$ -surface.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

References

- [1] Bazaikin Ya. V. On some Ricci-flat metrics of cohomogeneity two on complex line bundles. *Siberian Math. J.* 2004. 45, 3, 410–415
- [2] T. Eguchi, A. J. Hanson. Asymptotically flat self-dual solutions to euclidean gravity. *Physics Letters*, **74B** (1978), No. 4, 249–251.
- [3] D. N. Page. A physical picture of the $K3$ gravitational instanton. *Physics Letters*, **80B** (1978), No. 1,2. 55–57.
- [4] S.-T. Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equations. I. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*. **31** (1978). 339–411.
- [5] D. D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I and II. *J. Differential Geometry*. **43** (1996), No. 2. 291–328 and 329–375.
- [6] D. D. Joyce. Compact 8-manifolds with holonomy $Spin(7)$. *Inv. Math.* **123** (1996). 507–552.
- [7] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking. Gravitational multi-instantons. *Physics letters*, **78B**, (1978), No. 4, 430–432.
- [8] N. J. Hitchin. Polygons and gravitons. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85** (1979), 465–476.
- [9] A. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, NY, 1987.
- [10] P. Topiwala. A new proof of the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on $K3$. I. *Inventiones mathematicae*. **89** (1987). 425–448.
- [11] C. LeBrun, M. Singer. A Kummer-type construction of self dual 4-manifolds. *Mathematische Annalen*. **300** (1994). 165–180.